





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/629,320	07/31/2000	ERIC R. SCHORMAN	PF02024NA	4698	
20280	7590 06/06/2002				
MOTOROLA			EXAMINER		
	JS HIGHWAY 45 .LE, IL 60048-5343	•	HOLLOWAY I	LOWAY III, EDWIN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2635	57	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	plicant(s)
∴ Office Action Summary	09/629,320	SCHORMAN ET AL.
,	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Edwin C. Holloway, III	2635
Period for Reply	sears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	July 2000 .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 (	J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2000 is/are: a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		disapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r priserry and or or or or	. 3 1 10(4) (4) 51 (1).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	
<ul><li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li></ul>		•
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
Attachment(s)		.=
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)



Art Unit: 2635

### **EXAMINER'S RESPONSE**

1. In response to the application filed 7-31-00, the application has been examined. The examiner has considered the presentation of claims in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's opinion that the claims are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this Office action:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-24 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaPorta (US 5959543) and Nysen (US 5252979).

La Porta discloses an announcing device or pager and method as claimed adhoc multicasting in col. 13, but does not specify ad-hoc network. Nysen discloses an analogous art two way communication system with ad-hoc network in col. 6 line 45 - col. 7 line 3 and it would have been obvious to have included such in LaPorta in order to provide local privacy. Regarding claims 2-3, 11-12 and 24 LaPorta includes queues in fig. 10. Regarding claims 5-7, 14-16 and 21-23 Nysen discloses limitation to near vicinity or proximity and signal strength and number of hops are well known manners to measure proximity or vicinity in the communication art.



Art Unit: 2635

The contract

- 4. Claims 4, 13 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LaPorta (US 5959543) and Nysen (US 5252979) as applied above and further in view of Will (US 5479408).
- 5. Will discloses an analogous art two way pager and method including queue with order dependent on urgency. See cols. 18 and 23. It would have been obvious to have included the queue order dependent on urgency of Will in the combination applied above to assure that priority data is transmitted in a timely manner.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cannon (US 5850594) discloses a two way pager with processor and data base of member information within the pager.

#### **CONTACT INFORMATION**

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology center 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is **(703) 305-4700**.

Facsimile submissions may be sent via fax number (703) 872-9314 to customer service for entry by technical support staff. Questions regarding fax submissions should be directed to customer service voice line (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin C. Holloway, III whose telephone number is (703) 305-4818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30:-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on (703) 305-4704.

EH 6/3/02

EDWIN C. HOLLOWAY, III PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2635